BID PROCUREME	NT METHOD ANA	LYSIS FOR STAT	E FUNDED P	PROJECTS
Project Number:	STM R200-240		ode (SAP#):	21881
Resident Engineer:	John Hall	Region:	2	
This form shall be use Directive 303.2 to det ("CSBV") bid method compliance with § 24 procurement methods	termine whether a low d of procurement shal -92-103.5, C.R.S. CD	v price bid or a Com l be used for a project OOT's rational for se	petitive Sealed ct that is not fe	Best Value derally funded. In
IF A PROJECT IS I REASONBLY EST				

P	, <b>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </b>				
RI	A PROJECT IS IN ANY PART FUNDED WITH F EASONBLY ESTIMATED TO NOT EXCEED \$150 ROM THIS ANALYSIS AND THIS FORM DOES N	,000, THE PROJ	ECT I	S EXEM	
<u>CS</u>	SBV WAIVER				
	s a CSBV waiver been granted under Procedural Direct			No	
If:	yes, attach a copy the authorized waiver in lieu of comp	leting the remaind	er of th	nis form.	
PR	ROJECT PARAMETERS				
	Project Complexity/Opportunity for Innovation:				
	Will the project be a design build or CMGC project?	Ye	es	No	Χ
Are there opportunities for innovation in the project?			es X	No	
Does the project lend itself to a low bid procurement method? Yes $\overline{X}$				No	
	If so, explain As this project supports a critical need to	_	-	-	
	why: the roadway in unirrigated areas, expert	ise and experience	in Color	rado is ke	y.
2	Type of construction work::				
	faintenance X Operations Bridge	FASTER		Other	
	<u> </u>			(Explain in #	
3.	Project estimated budget:				
	will not exceed \$150,000	between \$1,000,000 and \$5,000,000			
Χ		over \$5,000,000	•		
	between \$500,000 and \$1,000,000				
4.	Schedule of construction:				
	will not exceed 6 months	between 1 year	and 2 y	ears	
	between 6 months and 1 year X	over 2 years	·		
5.	Location of work: All Counties with CDOT Region 2	_			
6.	Other considerations:				

<u>PROJECT GOALS</u>
Repair and construct erosion control and storm water features as needed to comply with CDOT's environmental and CSDP permits.

## PROJECT CONSTRAINTS

1.	Scheduling issues:		F: 100	200000		
	Is there risk the project cannot be completed before inclement weath	ner ceases cons Yes				
	The second secon			Λ		
	Will a 5 week Ad that is statutorily required for best value method of compromise the project completion deadline?	Yes		Х		
	Are there concerns regarding the availability of qualified contractors?					
		Yes X	No			
	Are the project funds limited to this fiscal year?	Yes	No	Χ		
2.	Traffic control management:  Does the project include a complex traffic control management?	Yes	No	X		
3.	Design requirements:  Are there design requirements for the project after award?	Vec v	No			
	and a	Yes X	NO			
4.	Risk assessment: Are there significant risks to the project? (If yes, explain in #7)	Yes x	No	ny.		
5.	CDOT staff constraints: Can CDOT design the project in a timely fashion?	Yes X	No			
	Are there concerns regarding the availability of qualified contractors		100 g			
	The more concerns regularing me all annually of quantities considered.	Yes x	No			
6.	Contractor competition/experience: Will the R.E. benefit from an analysis of the prospective contractor' records, or other data compiled in CSBV procurement method?	s staffing plan Yes _see_	100	FE		
	Will a contractor's experience be of benefit to the project?	Yes X	No			
7.	Other General staffing plans and hiring practices will not meaningfully benefit the project.  However, selection based on knowledge and experience of stabilization and growing Colorado's climate is potentially highly beneficial.					
	COMMENDATION:					
The	e procurement method recommended for the projects is low	bid or	<u>x</u> C	SBV		
If (	CSBV is recommended, identify the weight for each subcategory of C	CSBV factors:				
Pr	oject Design 35 /100 Staffing/Management/Safety Reco	ord5_/1	00			
1	1655h 4/11/2	017				
Re	Resident Engineer Date					
	4/12	17				
Co	ntraots and Marker Analysis Date					